## Approved For Release 2002/09/03 : CIA-RDP63-00313A000600060073-0

CHAL-1147-60 Copy 6 of 6

28 AUG 1984

	NEMORALDOM FOR: Office of the General Counsel
	SUBJECT : Claim for Reimbursement for Travel of Dependents on TDY of Sponsor to Washington at Completion of Tour
25X1A	
20/(1//	l. This paper contains a review of factors leading up to a claim being made by
	2. The attached correspondence contains all the relevant facts
	in the case. Specifically, the claim is for cost of travel of
25X1A	dependents from Topeka, Kansas to Washington, D.C. and return. As
20/(1/(	noted in attached memorandum on this case, prepared by
	of 1890, the Agency has for a long time been seeking a procedure whereby
	upon completion of an overseas tour military personnel would proceed
-	directly to new assignment without processing thru Washington. It is
	contemplated under this plan that only key personnel would come to
	Washington for debriefing. The Agency Comptroller's office is working
	on a plan whereby actual separation would take place in the field
0EV4A	and all trevel expenses would be paid by the gaining unit. Fending
25X1A	a finalization of this plan, Development Projects Division worked out
25X1A	an interim plan which was forwarded to detachment on
	4 June 1960 in (GUT 67769) (attached). This plan authorises
25X1C	the traveler to proceed commercially from to the West Coast at Agency expense and from the West Coast to his new Air Porce assignment
	at Air Force expense. Accounting for the travel outside the continental
	limits is made to the Agency and the ZI portion is made to the Air
	Force. The travel within the ZI is covered by a legitimate Air Force
25X1A	travel order (copy of is attached). The reason DFD allowed
	the consercial travel is found in Paragraph 2, C of (IN 24735)
25X1A	(attached) which advises that a 40 to 55 day notice would be necessary
	for MATS bookings and port calls. In view of the fact that a new
	over-all procedure was in the mill, it was felt that any attempt to
}	change the existing system and then a short time later revise it again
	(i.e., by the plan cited above which the Agency Comptroller is
	finalizing) would be ineffectual and would call attention to the unit.

SECRET

CON 6 of 6

Page 2 of 2

25X1A	3. The pertinent section of the message to Detachment C as it affects case is contained in Paragraph 1:
	1. All PCS MilPers authorized expersial
	travel on most direct route new essignment except
	those personnel regulated by HQE to report for
	consultation and debriefing. Dependents will not be covered by NGS TDY.
25X1A	submitted his request for travel in
5X1A	(IN 26933) (attached). Bgs suthorised the travel. In this message
25X1A	stated 'dependents will accompany to Washington at
	expense. It was based on this that Hos informed
25X1A	upon his submitting his claim, that the travel of dependents between
25X1A	Topolin, Kansas new station) and Washington was not authorized. Asked why he had notified Headquarters that dependents
25X1A	would travel to Washington at his expense, he stated that he had
23 A I A	decided not to question the procedure by cable but that he would
	erane equinat it when in Washington. After his errival at Higs, he
	made known that his intention was to accept the decision under
	protest, as it were, and reserve the right to have it judged at a later date.
	5. The DED approving and certifying officials feel that having
	made a decision they cannot reverse it without receiving an opinion
	from the Office of the General Commel. They recognize that in the past, dependent travel of the type under discussion has been relabursed
25X1A	Purthersone, review of the case shows that there is a
	great deal of merit in claim happened to
25X1A	treaml high as a new policy was make into effect and as far as
25X1A	can be ascertained, his is the only case which will raise the problem.  argues that prior to the plan in effect when he traveled,
25X1A	DPD reinbursed mambers of his own detechment for the same type of travel and that he should receive the same treatment.
	6. It is requested that CCC review the case and provide DVD
	with an opinion as to whether or not it can approve the payment for
	dependent travel from Topoka, Kamane, to Washington and return.
	STANLEY W. REPRET
	Colonel 1854

Attecheouts: 6/6

Approved For Release 2002 6 CIA-RDP63-00313A000600060073-0

Acting Chief DFD

Approved For Release 2002/09/03: CIA-RDP63-00313A000600060073-0

TOP SEGRET

CHAL 1149 Copy 7 of 7

33 August 1969

APPROVAL STATUS USAF - AGENCY/STATE

	OPERATION	AREA	DATE	UBAF - AUS	MULIPLAN.
	chalice  a. Middle East Missions (Photo) b. HOT SHOP Missions		Temporarily suspended Temporarily suspended	Approved Approved	Pending Pending
2.	<u>CORONA</u>		13 September 1960	n/k	Approved
25X1A 25X1A 25X1A 25X1C	a contingency plan c. JMARC - contingency plan d. (5 flights) e.		Continuing Basis Continuing Basis Continuing Basis August 1960 October 1960 Continuing Basis	Not presented Not presented N/R N/R Pending N/R	Approved-Agency Approved Approved Approved Pending Approved
14.	i mritit sabbass		Fall 1960	Pending	Pending

25X1A

Approved For Release 2002/09/03 : CIA-RDP63-00313A000600060073-0

## OPERATIONS BRANCH

## COMPLETED OPERATIONS FOR PERIOD 14 - 20 AUGUST 1960

25X1A	1. CORONA 9909 launch completed 19 August. 2. One C-54 JMARC "white" flight completed to 3. One C-124 JMARC "white" flight completed to
	4. Mission 1966, CHALICE photography, Vandenberg AFB, 11 August
	PROPOSED OPERATIONS FOR PERIOD 21 - 27 AUGUST 1960